

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLES

- Table 2-1.** Job Categories Not Found in the California Occupational Guides, p. 8.
- Table 3-1.** Comparison of Evaluation Study Data to 1000-Person Study Data, p. 30.
- Table 3-2.** Comparison of Estimated Task Means by Method (mG), p. 31.
- Table 3-3.** Avg. Standardized Difference in mG from Actual Value by Estimation Method, p. 39.
- Table 4-1.** Examples of Common Work Environments, p. 43.
- Table 4-2.** Subj. 669 Data Extracted 2 Different Ways to Show Potential Misclassification, p. 46.
- Table 4-3.** Hourly Weighted Task Means For Electrical Task Categories, p. 47.

FIGURES

- Figure 2-1.** Example Job Description from The California Occupational Guides, p. 7.
- Figure 3-1.** Task-based time log questionnaire, p. 26.
- Figure 3-2.** Sample screen print of web-based questionnaire, p. 28.
- Figure 3-3.** Comparison of estimated task means for the Robust Regression Method versus the Smith Method, p. 34.
- Figure 3-4.** Observed mean versus mean predicted from Robust Regression Method for subjects (log-log scale), p. 36.
- Figure 3-5.** Comparison of Variance for Hour Weighting versus Smith Weighting, p. 37.
- Figure 3-6.** Comparison of estimated subject means generated by the Robust Regression Method versus the Smith Method with combined task categories, p. 38.
- Figure 3-7.** A Comparison of the Cumulative Distribution for All Methods, p. 40.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Vincent DelPizzo of the EMF Program at the California Department of Health Services for his valuable guidance on this project. We also wish to acknowledge Dr. Raymond Neutra and the staff at the EMF Program at the California Department of Health Services for their assistance with this project.

We would like express our appreciation to Dr. John Reif and Dr. Jim Burch at Colorado State University (CSU) for allowing us access to their study population for the validation of our questionnaire. We would also like to thank Curtis Noonan and Travers Ichinose at CSU for their assistance in collecting spot measurement data and handling data transfer.

Tiffany Potter-Chiles at University of Washington is to be thanked for her assistance with the extraction of the EMDEX data files.

We would also like to thank Richard Iriye of Eneritech Consultants for providing us with data from the 1000 person study.

GLOSSARY

AM	Arithmetic mean
ASE	Asymptotic standard error of the mean
DF	Degrees of freedom
EMF	Electric and magnetic fields
GM	Geometric mean
GSD	Geometric standard deviation
LCL	Lower 95% confidence interval
MF	Power frequency magnetic field
N	Number of units of observation
Nhat	Effective sampling size (see Sec 2.4.3)
OES	Occupational Employment Statistic
SAC	Stakeholders Advisory Committee
SD	Standard Deviation
TWA	Time weighted average
UCL	Upper 95% confidence interval